

## Updated Invitation to the 2026 Annual General Meeting



Dogdance International e.V.  
1. Vorsitzende des Vorstandes  
[www.dogdance.info](http://www.dogdance.info)

Dogdance International e.V. • 1. Vorsitzende  
Nina Neumann • Marienstr. 36 • 41844 Wegberg

Nina Neumann  
Marienstr. 36  
41844 Wegberg  
Deutschland

Dear members,

We look forward to our virtual general meeting with you.

Email [n.neumann@dogdance.info](mailto:n.neumann@dogdance.info)

As we have had such good experiences in recent years, we are confident that we will once again be able to successfully hold our general meeting with you online via ZOOM Cloud Meetings this year.

Voting will take place online via Vote Box, to which all participants will have access.

The planned schedule is similar to last year's:

**Friday, 10 April 2026, 8–10 p.m. – Discussion round in English**  
**Saturday, 11 April 2026, 4–8 p.m. – Discussion round in German**  
**Sunday, 12 April 2026, 10 a.m. – 4 p.m. – Annual General Meeting**  
(break from 12:30 p.m. to 1:00 p.m.)

The discussion rounds can be used to discuss the proposals of the Annual General Meeting in advance. However, participation in the discussion rounds is NOT a prerequisite for participation in the Annual General Meeting. However, we would like to avoid discussions during the General Meeting.

The meeting will be held in English. All proposals and topics will be sent to you in advance by email, in German and English. Please feel free to use a translation programme and have the proposals translated into your language so that everyone knows what they are about. We cannot translate all proposals into every language.

Proposals to add to the agenda may be submitted in writing to the Executive Board up to one week (5 April 2026) prior to the meeting so that the Executive Board can still add them to the agenda (Section 10 (3) of the Articles of Association).

**Important elections are coming up:  
the election of the 1st Chair and the  
Secretary.**

We would be grateful if you could let us know your desired candidate as soon as possible, as we would like to enter the individual items as accurately as possible for the operators of the professional voting tool. However, from a purely legal point of view, it is also possible to nominate candidates at short notice during the meeting.

Kontoinhaber: Dogdance International e.V.  
Steuernummer: 20290/83676  
Bank: Sparkasse am Niederrhein, Ostring 4-7, 47441 Moers  
Konto: 1245017528  
IBAN: DE78 3545 0000 1245 0175 28  
BIC: WELADED1MOR

[www.dogdance.info](http://www.dogdance.info)



Dogdance International e.V.

1. Vorsitzende des Vorstandes

[www.dogdance.info](http://www.dogdance.info)

## Planned agenda:

**TOP 1** Welcome to members

**TOP 2** Opening of the general meeting

Election of the chairperson

Presentation and approval of the concept for the virtual annual general meeting

Confirmation of the proper invitation

Confirmation of quorum

Approval of the agenda

Nina Neumann

Marienstr. 36

41844 Wegberg

Deutschland

Email [n.neumann@dogdance.info](mailto:n.neumann@dogdance.info)

**TOP 3** Reports from the board and acknowledgements

General report of the Executive Board on the past calendar year

Report of the Treasurer

Report of the Cash Auditors

Questions regarding the annual reports

Vote of confidence

Discharge of the Executive Board

**TOP 4** Report of the Advisory Board

Report of the Licence Book Issuing Office

Report of the Membership Officer

Report of the Judges' Officer

**TOP 5** Board elections

- 1st Chair

- Secretary

**TOP 6** Proposals submitted by members in accordance with the Articles of Association (attached)

**TOP 7** Miscellaneous

**TOP 8** Closing remarks by the Board

For technical reasons, we need you to register your participation relatively early so that all relevant data can be entered. Of course, as always, last-minute participation will also be possible, but the less data we have to change at short notice, the better.

We look forward to a constructive exchange and a profitable meeting.

Nina Neumann

Chairperson of the Executive Board

[www.dogdance.info](http://www.dogdance.info)

## Agenda item 3

### Vote of Confidence

The Executive Committee feels compelled to call for a vote of confidence due to certain developments within the club, motions that have been tabled, and statements made by members. Since the last General Meeting, we have faced increasing criticism and doubts regarding our commitment, our transparency and our working methods. This places a considerable strain on our voluntary work.

We are receiving threats regarding matters over which we have no say (selection of teams for the OEC), we are being threatened with legal action, we are being attacked on social media, there are doubts as to whether we are carrying out our work correctly, and we currently have motions which, in our view, have been tabled directly against the Executive Board or its work.

Agenda Item 6.10

Further development of the structures of DDI e.V.

Proposer: Verena Verones on behalf of the GDS

and

Agenda Item 6.12

Introduction of an annual budget

Proposer: Gisela Schindler

A proposal for the DDI Executive Committee to attend the GDS General Meeting in order to address issues directly was rejected.

We feel personally attacked and would like to ensure we have the support of the members before electing the Chair and the Secretary.

The Executive Committee invests a great deal of effort in the further development of the club.

We are always open about our work and invite all members to get actively involved or take on responsibilities.

The further development of the club is the responsibility of all members. Commitment at an international level and taking on roles are crucial for the future.

The Executive Committee does not make substantive decisions regarding regulations or sporting matters. These are decided exclusively by the General Meeting. The Executive Committee is responsible for the administration of the club within the framework of applicable German association law.

Given that the club is based in Germany and the associated costs involved with a German notary and German authorities such as the tax office, etc., it makes sense for the Chairperson to be from Germany. The rest of the Executive Committee can be made up of international members; however, there is a lack of volunteers willing to stand for election.

Given the current situation, we are asking ourselves whether we are still the right board, or whether new elections might bring about an improvement within the association.

Vote of confidence:

Do the members fully support the board and its actions?

## **Proposals GM 2026**

### **Agenda item 6.1**

#### **Food in the senior class**

**Proposed by: Karin Baumann**

I propose that the use of food rewards (as in class 0) be permitted during dancing in the official senior class. The senior class should be judged in the same way as class 0 – treats should be awarded during the flow.

Reason: Senior dogs have special needs:

- They tire more quickly
- Age-related motivation and confidence through small rewards
- More animal-friendly and senior-friendly
- More motivation and confidence for older dogs
- Better opportunities for participation
- Promotion of enjoyment instead of pressure to perform

Occasional feeding improves well-being, orientation and enjoyment of dancing. And more participation from teams with older dogs.

#### **Motion 6.1: Food in the official senior class**

Yes

No

Abstain

### **Agenda item 6.2**

#### **Music length for fun starts**

**Proposed by: Gisela Schindler**

Currently, the maximum music length for a fun start is regulated differently. It is not clear to me why trios and quartets have a shorter maximum time available. These teams need more time to reward well, whether with play or food. In addition, it takes more time to get into position with two dogs. Seniors and handicapped participants also need more time to meet their special needs. Maximum duration does not mean that this time must be used up.

Beginners should also have enough time in the ring. This does not mean that there will be more tricks, but there will be plenty of time to play and have fun as a team and enjoy their first positive ring experiences.

I therefore propose that the maximum music length for all fun classes be 4 minutes. Especially since the entry fees are the same for everyone.

#### **Motion 6.2: Should the music length be the same for all fun starts (max. 4 minutes)?**

Yes

No

Abstain

### **Agenda item 6.3**

#### **Adjustment of the maximum music duration in the Open Senior class (not applicable if AI 6.2 is accepted)**

**Proposer: Karin Baumann**

I hereby propose that the maximum music duration in the Open Senior class be adjusted to that of the other Open classes and increased from the current 2.30 minutes to a maximum of 4 minutes.

Reason: Senior dogs have special needs due to their age.

They generally need more time to calmly and gently get moving to change positions

For breaks and rewards (food)

As well as for a joint-friendly and stress-free performance in the ring

The current limited music duration puts many teams under unnecessary time pressure and can lead to performances having to be rushed, which is not always conducive to the well-being of older dogs. A longer maximum music duration would make it possible to make the performance calmer, more dog-friendly and adapted to the individual abilities of senior dogs. The adjustment to a maximum of 4 minutes does not mean that the full time must be used, but simply offers more flexibility for the benefit of the dogs' well-being. In my opinion, this change would make the Open Senior class much fairer and more age-appropriate.

Of course, you can start in the Open class with 4 minutes, but it would also be much better for the judges if senior dogs started together in one block.

**Motion 6.3: Change the music length in the Open Senior class to a maximum of 4 minutes.**

Yes

No

Abstain

### **Agenda Item 6.4**

**Proposer: Judges Board**

#### **Modification of the rule regarding the positioning of the props (6.4) General information about the use of props / costume**

Now the 6th item of the rule reads as follows;

*“All props must be set up within 90 seconds, this will be checked by the ring steward, this applies to all starts, no matter if fun class or official class”*

We, as judges board, would like to suggest to modify it in a way that will be a little less specific regarding who's going to check the timing, as sometimes the ring stewards can be persons that are not into our sport and they can get overwhelmed by their job. On the other hand, if the ring stewards are competitors this task isn't really a problem.

That's why we suggest to change the wording in a more flexible way, so at every competition, the organizers can make a decision regarding who will take the time based on who will be ring stewards.

The item will be as follows:

*“All props must be set up within 90 seconds, who will check the time will be decided by the organizers and must be communicated to the head judge, this applies to all starts, no matter if fun class or official class”*

**Motion 6.4: Timekeeping, set-up and props to be decided by the organiser**

Yes

No

Abstain

**Agenda item 6.5**

**Proposer: Judges Board**

**Preventing DDI Championship status to not given to anything lower than Class 3**

Championship titles are sometimes awarded to teams competing in lower classes (Class 0, 1, and 2), which devalues the **Class 3** (highest level) champion title

After a brief discussion, the Board agreed on the wording for an **amendment** to the rules:

"Any national **DDI** Championship title must be awarded to competitors in Class 3". This will be presented to the DDI board as an amendment suggested by the Judges Board under rule 10.2, " Clarification: this only applies to the DDI official title ("DDI Champion") and does not prevent any Country clubs (like Italian ACSI/AICS/CSEN) from awarding their own non-DDI titles (club Champion) to lower-class teams.

**Motion 6.5:**

**National DDI championship title only awarded to teams in classes FS3 and HTM3**

Yes

No

Abstain

**Agenda item 6.6**

**Proposal to supplement the DDI regulations**

**Clarification of the requirements in classes 0–3 as well as Seniors, Beginners, Trio, Quartet, Groups and Open (FS and HTM)**

**Proposer: Elena Kunde, Annette Seidel-Mißfeldt, Sabine Bräuniger**

We propose that a clear and detailed description of the expectations and requirements in the official classes 0, 1, 2 and 3 as well as in the additional categories Seniors, Beginners, Trio, Quartet, Groups and Open be added to the DDI regulations – both for the FreeStyle (FS) discipline and for Heelwork to Music (HTM). As a guide for content and structure, we suggest following the Dutch Dog Dance Implementation Regulations, Chapter IX Routine (from page 23).

This chapter provides examples of how the requirements for each class can be formulated in a comprehensible and practical manner.

Reason: Currently, the DDI regulations do not contain sufficiently precise information on the specific performances, criteria and training levels expected in the individual classes and categories. In practice, this leads to uncertainty among participants, trainers and judges.

2 Examples:

There were several beginner starts in 2025 that ended with participants in tears and saying, 'I'm never going to a dog dance competition again.'

Teams that are not members of the DDI (both from Germany and abroad) would like to compete, but don't dare to because they don't know exactly what is expected in the respective classes.

A detailed description of the expectations for each class and category would:

- increase the transparency and comparability of the evaluations,
- provide the judges with a clear basis for evaluation,
- enable the teams and trainers to work more specifically towards the respective class, and
- contribute to greater consistency and fairness in competition overall.

Proposal for implementation

We propose that the DDI appoint a working group or rules committee to:

1. review the existing rules,
2. formulates the expected performances and evaluation criteria for each official class (0–3) and each category (seniors, beginners, trio, quartet, groups, open) in both disciplines (FS and HTM), taking into account the Dutch implementation regulations (Chapter IX) as a reference, and
4. submits the draft for approval.

In addition, we, Sabine Bräuniger, Elena Kunde and Annette Seidel-Mißfeldt, declare our willingness to actively participate in the development of the described content within the framework of the working group to be established (max. 6 persons) and to contribute to the content.

**Motion 6.6: Clarification of the requirements in classes 0–3 as well as seniors, beginners, trio, quartet, groups and open (FS and HTM) – Convening of a working group**

Yes

No

Abstain

**Agenda item 6.7**

**Entry and exit rules for official classes 5.2.4**

**Proposer: Verena Verones**

Previously

Entry class 0/1-3

Every new competitor in the official classes 0/1-3 starts at the lowest level in the official class 0 or 1. This can be decided by the starter himself.

Exception 1:

Competitors that have already competed in class 3 of one category (FS or HTM) but have not yet competed in the other category can choose the entry level in that category.

Exception 2: Competitors that have so far competed under different rules and compete for the first time under the rules of the DDI can choose their entry level in each category once. The first time competing in the chosen class cannot be a Qualification or Titling competition. As soon as the team has competed for the first time in the chosen class, the normal moving-up rules apply. This applies to both of the above exception rules.

New:

deletion of exception 1 without replacement ( delete 2 and both of)

Reason: it is unfair to all other teams that a team that already competes in class 3 can classify itself in class 3 in the other division without having gone through the regular promotion rules. The fact that a team competes in class 3 in one division does not mean that it also meets the corresponding requirements in class 3 of the other division.

**Motion 6.7: Changing the entry rules in Class 3**

Yes

No

Abstain

**Agenda Item 6.8**

**Addition: Misconduct in the ring**

**Proposer: Debra Bernard**

Section 6.2.5, on misconduct in the ring, currently reads:

6.2.5. Misconduct in the ring (max. 20 points)

The following misconduct will lead to deductions. They are counted from the time the team enters the ring until it leaves the ring:

- Verbal-aggressive behaviour: harsh, unfriendly or severe signalling
- Mental pressure: Using severe eye contact with the dog, blocking the dog with unfriendly body language, using intimidation, correcting the dog
- Touching the dog in a manipulating way: Moving / pushing the dog into a position, limiting the dogs movements
- Leaving and re-entering the ring before the start of the music or after the end of the routine by either the dog or the handler will lead to points being deducted. This rule applies from the moment, the team has entered the ring for the first time. The exception to this rule is, if the judges have signalled to the team, that it can leave the ring (for example if there are problems with the music, etc.)
- One point will be deducted for each second that the set-up time is exceeded.

I propose to add a new subparagraph, after " Touching the dog in a manipulating way: Moving / pushing the dog into a position, limiting the dogs movements"

to read:

"- Aggressive physical contact with the handler by the dog, such as nipping, biting or tearing at clothes or costume".

**Motion 6.8: Addition Misconduct the Ring**

Yes

No

Abstain

## **Agenda Item 6.9**

### **Entry and promotion rules from other associations**

**Proposed by: Kirsten Vrancken**

#### 5.2.4. Entry and promotion rules for the official classes / licence booklets

##### Entry to Classes 0/1–3

Every newcomer to the official classes 0/1-3 starts in the lowest official class 0 or class 1. The competitor may decide this themselves.

##### Exception 1:

Competitors who are already competing in class 3 in one category (FS or HTM) without officially competing in the other category at the same time may choose their entry class in the new category.

##### Exception 2:

Competitors who have previously competed under different regulations and wish to compete in tournaments under these regulations for the first time may assign themselves to a class for their first competition. The first competition following self-assignment must not be in a qualifying or championship class.

Once the first start following self-assignment has been made in both exceptional cases, the team is subject to the currently valid promotion rules.

#### Removal of Exception 2

##### Reason:

Competitors from other federations who would classify themselves in Class 3 should be able to achieve the required promotion points through the regular route with a minimum number of tournament appearances. Furthermore, this ensures that the intended performance level of Class 3 meets the DDI's standards.

### **Motion 6.9: Change the entry rules for participants from other federations**

Yes

No

Abstain

## **Agenda Item 6.10**

### Further Development of the Structures of DDI e.V.

Proposed by: Verena Verones on behalf of GDS

#### Background

GDS benefits from the well-established foundations and framework conditions of DDI e.V. that have proven themselves over the years. We are committed to continuing our collaboration with DDI e.V. The greatest merits of DDI e.V. are:

- There is a very well-founded and nuanced set of rules that can be adjusted annually by DDI members if a majority votes in favor of the proposed change at the DDI general meetings.
- The rules are applied uniformly in many countries and enable the exchange and participation of teams across national borders (In 2025, over 40 tournaments were held according to DDI regulations)

- DDI referee training is standardized, and continuing education for referees is mandatory and organized. Proof of completion must be provided annually. If the required criteria are not met, the referee loses their referee license
- Currently, 50 DDI referees are available to tournament organizers. Each of these judges can be contacted directly.
- There is a website that provides an overview of tournaments and results in Europe. Everyone also has access to the list of judges.
- Membership administration is handled centrally

#### Need to adapt current club structures

Since the founding of DDI international e.V. in 2009, dog dance has evolved significantly as a discipline and has gained enormous recognition. This is evident both in the number of members and in the diversity of countries that have joined DDI. However, the DDI's organizational structure has remained unchanged since 2009 and no longer reflects international realities or the needs of member countries.

The association's leadership and many decision-making processes continue to be shaped by DDI's German origins, which hinders the equal participation and sense of belonging of all member countries.

We hereby wish to openly inform the DDI Board of Directors of the growing dissatisfaction and encourage them to discuss possible solutions and next steps together.

We are aware that the current structure has evolved historically and that separating national and international responsibilities involves significant organizational and legal effort.

Nevertheless, we are convinced that a clear and timely adjustment of the structures is now urgently needed to sustainably strengthen the international orientation of Dogdance International and to secure the trust of all member countries.

An important and appropriate step toward greater internationality was the introduction of country representatives by DDI e.V. Unfortunately, implementation has stalled. While the country representatives were appointed, there have been only isolated interactions between them and the DDI Board. This mechanism continues to offer great potential for intensifying the exchange between the Board and member countries and should therefore be further developed and strengthened collaboratively.

#### Our Motion for the DDI e.V. General Assembly 2026

Subject: Further Development of the Structures of DDI e.V.

Submitted by Verena Verones, President of GDS, as a representative of the GDS Board and on behalf of the GDS members

#### Justification:

The current structure is heavily influenced by the origins of DDI e.V. in Germany, which no longer meets the needs of today's international membership structure.

#### Motion:

We propose that Dogdance International be organized as an independent, international association whose Board consists of representatives from various member countries.

To this end, a working group composed of representatives from various member countries shall be established. This working group shall develop concrete proposals for the legal, organizational, and structural development of the international board by the next General Assembly in 2027 and present them for discussion.

Vote

At the GDS General Assembly on March 29, 2026, the GDS members mandated the GDS Board to submit and advocate for the motion “Further Development of the Structures of DDI e.V.” at the 2026 DDI e.V. General Assembly:

DDI e.V. is requested to establish a working group to examine this matter—the further development of the structures of DDI e.V.—at all levels and to develop corresponding recommendations.

**Motion 6.10:**

**Establishment of a working group on “Further development of DDI structures”**

Yes

No

Abstain

**Agenda Item 6.11**

**Proposed by: Maren Heinrich, Karin Götting, Carsten Möhlenbrink**

We propose that dogs must be kept on a leash at all times throughout the entire competition grounds, including the hall (where applicable) and outdoor areas.

Exceptions are the warm-up ring, the competition ring, and the area directly at the entrance and exit to the ring (jackpot zone). Dogs must be kept under control on a short leash. The reason for this is that conflict and stressful situations frequently arise, which significantly affect both dog and owner before their turn. This also applies to protect insecure or reactive dogs and to prevent future behavioural problems. This motion is also intended to prevent, or at least reduce the risk of, dogs jumping into the ring or warm-up ring from outside.

We would like to discuss the consequences of non-compliance during the discussion session.

**Motion 6.11:**

**Leash requirement on the competition grounds**

Yes

No

Abstain

## **Agenda Item 6.12**

### **Introduction of an annual budget**

**Proposed by: Gisela Schindler**

I hereby propose that DDI e.V. introduce an annual budget in future, present the budget at the DDI e.V. General Meeting, and have it approved by the members.

Reason: An annually set budget creates transparency regarding income and expenditure and enables better financial planning. It serves as an important management tool for the Executive Board and supports the sustainable and responsible use of funds. Furthermore, it facilitates oversight by the members and increases trust in the Executive Board.

Objective:

Improved financial overview

Clear prioritisation of projects and expenditure

Increased transparency towards members

Resources are used more consciously, thereby reducing unnecessary expenditure.

The General Assembly resolves that, from the coming financial year, an annual budget shall be drawn up by the Executive Board and submitted to the General Assembly for approval.

### **Motion 6.12: Introduction of an annual budget**

Yes

No

Abstain

## **Agenda Item 6.13**

### **Annual starting license for non-members: Equal treatment of official and recreational starters**

**Proposer: Regula Albisser Strom**

At present, there is unequal treatment between official starters and recreational starters, even though both groups make equal use of the DDI's infrastructure, resources and the services of its judges. It is not clear why higher hurdles apply to official starters than to fun starters, even though both benefit from the same services.

Differential treatment contradicts the principle of equal treatment.

Furthermore, the annual starting license causes a significant administrative burden, both for the DDI and for tournament organisers. However, the actual financial benefit of this regulation cannot be adequately assessed without access to the relevant budget.

Nevertheless, I doubt whether the costs and benefits are in a reasonable proportion.

It is proposed that clear and uniform regulations be implemented:

**Motion 6.13a**

**The annual starting license for non-members is to be completely abolished.**

Vote

Yes

No

Abstain

**Motion 6.13b (deemed void if 6.13a is adopted)**

**All non-members require an starting license, whether they do fun or official starts**

Yes

No

Abstain

If neither of the two options is adopted, the existing regulation remains unchanged.

**Agenda Item 6.14**

**Motion to amend the DDI Articles of Association regarding the cash auditors**

**Proposed by: Regina Gerson**

Motion to amend the DDI Articles of Association regarding the election of cash auditors as follows:

(5) The General Meeting shall, in particular, decide on:

- a) the discharge of the Executive Board
- b) the election of the Executive Board
- c) the election of 2 cash auditors
- d) the election of the Advisory Board members
- e) amendments to the Articles of Association
- f) amendments to the tournament regulations
- g) the setting of membership and contribution fees
- h) motions from the Executive Board and members
- i) motions regarding appeals by rejected applicants for membership
- j) the expulsion of members
- k) the dissolution of the association

(6) The cash auditors shall be elected by the General Meeting for a term of two years. They may not be members of the Executive Committee or be employed by the Association. The cash auditors shall, at least once a year, verify the correctness of the accounts and supporting documents, as well as the use of funds in accordance with the Articles of Association. A written report on the results of the audit shall be submitted to the General Meeting.

NEW: The election shall be conducted in such a way that only one cash auditor is newly elected at a time, on an alternating basis.

The following lists will be adjusted accordingly.

Reason: For years, we have had two truly excellent cash auditors who scrutinise the DDI accounts with great meticulousness. Thank you very much for that. I do not wish to discredit this work.

Limiting the term of office of the cash auditors to two years serves to safeguard their independence and objectivity. A regular change prevents the establishment of fixed auditing routines and potential conflicts of interest, thereby contributing to effective financial oversight. At the same time, the two-year term ensures that the cash auditors are properly trained. The staggered election ensures the necessary continuity of the cash audit.

Furthermore, I regard the role of cash auditor as an excellent opportunity for members to get involved in the work of the DDI Executive Committee.

#### **Motion 6.14 Amendment to the election of cash auditors**

Yes

No

Abstain

#### **Agenda Item 6.15**

##### **Application for the Restructuring of Judges' Responsibilities**

I hereby submit a proposal to restructure the responsibilities related to judges by establishing a three-member committee with extended international involvement through committee applicants.

##### **Composition of the Committee:**

- Person responsible for judges (elected by the general assembly)
- Person responsible for judges' administration (volunteer position)
- Person responsible for organizing judges' discussion rounds (volunteer position)

##### **Extended Participation:**

Applicants to the judges' committee will continue to be responsible for international matters, particularly topics related to judging practices and their interpretation. However, decisions regarding implementation and execution must be made by the three-member committee. In the case of multiple applicants, selection will be carried out by the judges of the respective country.

**Rationale:**

The current committee structure is no longer viable in an international context. The number of applicants is unfortunately very limited (4 applicants from Germany, Switzerland, and Italy). Decisions made by the committee have often proven difficult to implement or have resulted in significant additional workload.

The original goal—promoting international exchange and better addressing country-specific requirements—has only been partially achieved. In particular, it remains unclear who will implement the new regulations in the area of qualification tournaments.

The restructuring aims to create a functional committee composed of actively engaged individuals who take responsibility and implement decisions efficiently, even if international participation is, unfortunately, limited.

**Objectives:**

- Faster and more efficient decision-making processes
- Shorter communication channels
- Ensuring the practical feasibility of decisions
- Continuing international exchange through regular meetings (at least three per calendar year)
- Direct handling of topics arising from discussion rounds

**Motion 6.15 Restructuring of Judges' Responsibilities**

Yes

No

Abstain